

1	A Name	B Comment	C WOTG Response	D
2	Overarching comments		<p>1. <u>Positive Contribution</u>: The Neighbourhood Plan reflects the positive view of villagers towards responding to housing need in the community. There is a clear understanding of 'the duty to cooperate'. The objectives and policies are driven by the instruction of the village to maintain the historic nature of the village, value its history and unique features and to grow housing stock incrementally and sensitively. We are aware that this will not sit well with those who wish to turn fields and landscape areas into housing developments. We would argue that we understand the delicate balance between the need for housing and the wish to protect the countryside. Those who wish to build in areas that the NP considers unsuitable charge us with being non-cooperative. To the extent that we will not bend to the will of some developments, we are mindful of the need for suitable housing in agreed locations.</p> <p>(2) <u>Category A village identification</u>: WOTG is the third smallest in this group of 23 villages. The group spans populations sizes of 12,783 (Kidlington) to Finmere (465). Our population as of the census of 2011 is 523. The category is not a one size fits all in terms of population growth. Our village has not been given a numerical expectation for growth. We believe this to be the case because we set growth targets early on, and as we have also the constraint of green belt and conservation area. The area outside of these zones is landscape and a buffer for wildlife. Refer to clarification in the body of the NP: 1.5 Relationship of the Plan to Cherwell District and Local Plans.</p> <p>(3) <u>Village Confines</u>: The Glossary of terms at the front of the NP: Village Confines: "the area of the village defined by continuous and contiguous development forming the existing built up area of the village, excluding any curtilage beyond the built footprint of the buildings on the site" We are clear in the NP that the village will not support development outside of this area. We feel that we provide reasonable explanations for this. Our village is able to sustain a 15% growth without pushing out the existing built up area of the village.</p> <p>4) <u>Which version of the NPPF?</u> The policies of the 2012 NPPF still apply to the examination of the Neighbourhood Plans submitted on or before 24th January 2019. The Weston on the Green Plan was submitted in October 2018. The revised (2018) NPPF places extra weight on development complying with policies in a Neighbourhood Plan if that Plan meets the local housing requirement and the local authority has at least a three year housing land supply.</p>	

1	A	B	C	D
Name		Comment	WOTG Response	
Cherwell District Council (CDC)	Calculated Housing Need Explain how this figure was reached. There is no correlation between the questionnaire results and the 60% affordable housing requirement as stated in the NP.	Calculated Housing Need Explain how this figure was reached. There is no correlation between the questionnaire results and the 60% affordable housing requirement as stated in the NP.	<p>1) Proposed Amendment to section on 'Calculated Housing Need' (page 45) as follows: CALCULATED HOUSING NEED FOR WESTON-ON-THE-GREEN <i>Based on the information gathered on the current and future populations, the housing needs for the village were calculated as follows:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An objective of 15% growth in housing in 2017-2031 = 38 houses based on the survey data . This is a positive contribution for a small village. 2. The profile of housing needed is stratified as follows : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Provide housing for an increased 20-35 age group in the village (9% increase will support 46 young residents). The objective is to increase the 20-35 age group from 31% in 2016 to 40% by 2025 (46 new residents). We will need 23 new houses for this group, 15 of which should be affordable smaller houses (in the 1-2 and 2-3 bed categories; assuming 2 young occupants per new house). 6x1-2 bed (26%); 9x 2-3 bed (39%); 8 other houses (35%) = 23 houses. b. Provide housing for retirees and downsizers = Housing suitable for downsizing retirees or those in the >65 years age group who require some care support. 18 of 50 (36%) survey 1 village. Respondents in this age group said they would need assistance to stay in their existing home, and 28% wanted single occupancy homes to be made available. This age group is projected to increase within the term of this plan from 32% recorded in the 2011 census to 52% in 2037. (Population Estimates tool, Office of National Statistics 28/4 2016). We will need at least 11 houses, 8 of which should be affordable. 4x1-2 bed (36%); 4x 2-3 bed (36%); 3 other houses (27%) = 11 houses. <p>Totals: 1-2 bed (afford) = 10 houses (26%); 2-3 bed (afford) = 13 houses (34%); other = 15 houses (39%).</p> <p>Total affordable housing = 23 of 38 houses (60%) targeted to age groups described above in 2a and 2b.</p> <p>Our target of 60% affordable housing (meaning priced to be in reach for key workers, lower income residents and retirees) exceeds the Cherwell District target of 35%. However, our target responds to the skewed existing housing mix in Weston-on-the-Green (in 2018, of the 11 houses for sale in the village, seven were 4-bedroom or larger dwellings) which does not deliver for our population.</p>	
3		Table B - this would benefit from quoting the data source ie 2011 Census		
4			Proposal to update Table B as: <i>Table B: Population structure of Weston-on-the-Green compared with related regional figures (2011 UK Census data, Office of National Statistics www.ons.gov.uk). Table B (page 48) updated as follows</i>	

A		B	C		D
1	Name	Comment	WOTG Response		
5	CDC	Page 2 - add wording	Page 2: Beginning of the 4th paragraph: add 'The National Planning and Policy Framework allows a village the right to protect its character from irreversible harm and to shape and direct development in the local area.'		
6	CDC	Page 5: Reword paragraph on settlement boundary.	3) Page 5: Proposed rewording of paragraph on Settlement Boundary as follows: <i>Settlement boundary: The adopted Cherwell Local Plan 2015 does not define settlement boundaries for all rural villages, although ESD14 does aim to prevent the coalescence of settlements by, in part, preserving the Green Belt designation in and around villages. This Plan defines a village area (the village envelope) outside of which development will not be supported. The village envelope seeks to preserve the essence of the rural landscape setting which is so characteristic of the village and important to safeguard from harm or loss.</i>		
7	CDC	Pages 15 – 16 'Relationship of the Plan to Cherwell District and Local Plans' Reword paragraph	Pages 15 – 16 Proposed amendment to 'Relationship of the Plan to Cherwell District and Local Plans' Reword second paragraph as follows: <i>This Neighbourhood Plan is prepared within the context of the adopted Cherwell Local Plan Part 1 2011-2031 (CLPP1), and the saved policies of the adopted Cherwell Local Plan 1996 (CLP). There is now an emerging revised Cherwell Local plan in preparation. It is not a statutory requirement for this Neighbourhood Plan to meet the expectations of the emerging Local Plan, rather this Neighbourhood Plan must be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the existing development plan for the area. The policies of the 2012 NPPF still apply to the examination of Neighbourhood Plans submitted on or before 24th January 2019. The Weston-on-the-Green Neighbourhood Plan was submitted in October 2018. However, the revised NPPF places extra weight on development complying with policies in a made Neighbourhood Plan if that Plan meets the local housing requirement and the local authority has at least a three year housing land supply.</i>		
8	CDC	Page 16, second paragraph add 'this Plan has no intention of amending the Green Belt boundary'	Page 16 second paragraph: Proposed amendment add 'this Plan has no intention of amending the Green Belt boundary'.		

	A	B	C	D
1	Name	Comment	WOTG Response	
9	CDC	Page 40 add to end of first paragraph 'Other small ancient woodland and local wildlifenot secured'	Page 40: Proposed amendment to add to end of first paragraph ' <i>Other small ancient woodland and local wildlifenot secured</i> ' .	

1	A	B	C	D
Name	Comment		WOTG Response	
CDC	7) Page 46 Replace first two paragraphs		<p>Page 46: Proposal to replace first two paragraphs with: <i>Our target of 60% affordable housing (meaning priced to be in reach for key workers, lower income residents and retirees) exceeds the Cherwell District target of 35%. However, our target responds to the skewed existing housing mix in Weston-on-the-Green (in 2018, of the 11 houses for sale in the village, seven were 4-bedroom or larger dwellings) which does not deliver for our population.</i> <i>Of the 20 houses approved on Site A, 7 (35%) fall into the affordable smaller homes category. 38 new houses are justified for the projected needs of the village to 2031 based on the need identified by the survey data. This number also aims to address the age demographic by providing new housing attractive to starter families and elderly downsizers that is affordable. Since this Plan was initiated (2015) a further 4 houses have been approved on individual sites located within the village through windfall. Our total contribution to the dwelling requirement of the NPPF Policy Villages 2 requirement of 750 housing number, as of 31st January 2019, is therefore 24. It is worth noting that the Annual Monitoring Reports (2018) from Cherwell District Council shows a remaining unmet requirement (as of 31st March 2018) of only 4 dwellings from the original 750 Category A village allocation.</i> <i>A target of 20 houses is achievable growth for the village. Further housing development is currently not sustainable, given the present lack of public transportation to/from the village, the lack of other community facilities (e.g. school) and the lack of suitable sites within the village confines but excluding the Green Belt area.</i> <i>Development of 26 houses to the north of Site A was refused on appeal in March 2016 due to: lack of sustainability in the absence of public transport to the village and imposing a detrimental effect on the character of the village through an urbanising effect in the village setting.</i> <i>Other development sites that come forward must fulfil the criteria in this Neighbourhood Plan including, crucially, being well connected with the village. The Parish Council would support development on exception sites in the Green Belt if there was an appropriate contribution to affordable housing (Policy Villages 3 of the Local Plan). Windfall housing, which falls within Policy Villages 1 of the Local Plan, may add housing incrementally, but sites will be rigorously measured against the policies in this Plan. Weston-on-the-Green can more easily accommodate small scale development and this is consistent with saved policy H13 of the CLP 1996 which allows settlements like Weston-on-the-Green to fulfil their development requirement by infilling and small groups of dwellings. Since more than half of the village is included in the Green Belt, development sites are particularly constrained, taking account of the policies regarding character and intensification in the part of the village that is outside the Green Belt.</i></p>	

1	A	B	C	D
Name	WOTG Response			
CDC	8) Page 55, Theme 2, second sentence of paragraph 2 to be amended.	8) Page 55, Theme 2, second sentence of paragraph 2 to be amended.	Page 55, Theme 2, second sentence of paragraph 2 should be amended to read: <i>'Further growth could be supported on windfall sites that could meet both the Local Plan policies and the policies and Design Code in this Plan. However the Green Belt, which bisects the village at Church Road (see Figure 9), restricts opportunities for development in much of the south half of the village.'</i>	
11	CDC	Page 56 first paragraph add fourth sentence:	Page 56 first paragraph fourth sentence, to be amended: <i>Given that the requirement for Category A villages in the Local Plan has now largely been met (4 dwellings unmet from the original 750 required), the village is not prepared to compromise the character of the village unnecessarily, recognising that poor integration of proposed housing also fails to comply with the NPPF. Small scale and gradual development for a small village such as Weston is consistent with Policy Villages 1 (C262, p247 CLP 2011-2031, Part 1) where the assessment of what constitutes minor development will depend on the size of the village and the level of service provision. Weston is one of the smallest of the Category A villages and has few services.</i>	
12	CDC	Recommend re-wording of Policy E6	Policy E6 (Page 67) should be amended as follows: <i>E6: Four sites, the boundaries of which are shown in Figure 15, are designated as Local Green Spaces. They are: I: Weston Manor grounds fronting the B430; II: the Stocks situated on a small green at the junction of Church Road and the B430; III: St. Mary's Churchyard; IV: the playing field.</i>	
13				

1	Name	A	B	C	D
			Comment	WOTG Response	
14	CDC	H1 - Suggest deleting word: (as planning approval has been granted, policy H3 does not apply) . The policy should be future proofed as revised/new applications may be	H1: A development of 20 dwellings is supported on Site A (Southfield Farm) as shown on Figure 22 subject to compliance with other policies in this Plan. "Restrict any further incursion into the countryside (e.g. Site B) or phased further development to extend housing beyond Site A to the north of the village confines (development would not be supported as it is not consistent with the references to satellite, ribbon and dormitory development stated in this Plan: see A5 'No 'satellite' or 'dormitory' housing development should be supported outside the 'village confines', nor 'ribbon development' along the B430' .	H1: A development of 20 dwellings is supported on Site A (Southfield Farm) as shown on Figure 22 subject to compliance with other policies in this Plan. "Restrict any further incursion into the countryside (e.g. Site B) or phased further development to extend housing beyond Site A to the north of the village confines (development would not be supported as it is not consistent with the references to satellite, ribbon and dormitory development stated in this Plan: see A5 'No 'satellite' or 'dormitory' housing development should be supported outside the 'village confines', nor 'ribbon development' along the B430' .	
15	CDC	H2 - change wording to 'for less'	To amend wording as: In addition to the major development set out in Policy H1, sustainable residential development within the village confines will be permitted for conversion, infilling and minor development, typically but not exclusively for less than 10 dwellings, provided that they protect the character of the village and are in accord with the other policies in the Neighbourhood Plan and Local Planning Policies.	H2: In addition to the major development set out in Policy H1, sustainable residential development within the village confines will be permitted for conversion, infilling and minor development, typically but not exclusively for less than 10 dwellings, provided that they protect the character of the village and are in accord with the other policies in the Neighbourhood Plan and Local Planning Policies.	
16	CDC	H3 Explain why this is not in line with the 2014 Oxon SHMA and also how it has been calculated	11) Policy H3 (page 71) propose rewording to clarify why there is a different wit the 2014 Oxon SHMA as follows: targets should contribute to the overall target of 30% 1-2 bedrooms, 40% 2-3 bedrooms and 5% 4-5 bedrooms which adheres to targets set specifically to address the present and future population projections in the parish (parish population displays a disproportionate skew to higher age groups, and a lack of small affordable housing stock). "	11) Policy H3 (page 71) propose rewording to clarify why there is a different wit the 2014 Oxon SHMA as follows: "New targets should contribute to the overall target of 30% 1-2 bedrooms, 40% 2-3 bedrooms and 5% 4-5 bedrooms which adheres to targets set specifically to address the present and future population projections in the parish (parish population displays a disproportionate skew to higher age groups, and a lack of small affordable housing stock). "	

1	Name	A	B	C	D
	CDC		<p>Comment</p> <p>C1 Use second paragraph to support the policy using lower case text</p>	<p>WOTG Response</p> <p>Policy C1 (page 73) Propose amending second paragraph to lower case text to support the policy: Area B (the Schoolfield) will be preserved and managed as a potential grassland habitat with access for passive recreational open space commensurate with the maintenance of a lowland meadow. The site will be carefully managed to enhance natural grassland and wildlife biodiversity (see also policy E2). <i>This site lies within the Weston-on-the-Green Conservation Area Boundary (see Figure 9) and has three public rights of way traversing or bounding the site (public footpaths 404/23; 404/27; 404/28). It is used by local villagers for general movement around the village and by walkers as part of the Oxfordshire Way route from Henley-on-Thames to Bourton-on-the-Water and in the general enjoyment of the environment and the appreciation of the historic setting. The site is central to the village, being adjacent to the Mill stream and still showing clear evidence of an ancient ridge and furrow pattern. In this sense, Area B is a special space in the village and it places Weston-on-the-Green in the broader context of historic connections in the Oxfordshire landscape.</i></p>	
17	CDC		<p>Appendix B - probably not needed as it is all in the Consultation Statement</p>	<p>Appendix B: NP team has chosen to keep it as it does not affect any policy but is a valuable statement to the village of their involvement under cover of one booklet. This document, if accepted, will form part of the village history.</p>	
18	CDC	<p>Appendix F: it would be helpful to clarify that the "Reasons for rejection under this plan" in this table are the conclusions of the NP assessment and do not reproduce the assessments in the HELLA</p>		<p>Appendix F: Proposed amendment to the table, column three heading: "<i>Neighbourhood Plan Reasons for rejection</i>".</p>	
19					

A		B	C		D
1	Name	Comment	WOTG Response		
	CDC	Table A - update Reference to CLP Part 2 Figure 1 does not include the Green Belt Boundary but it is in the text Pg 25 - Include Ancient woodland on Figure 5	Table A: To update Figure 1 to include Weston Wood and Warough Copse as Local Wildlife Sites, Meizen Copse, Middleleys Spinney and two small unnamed areas. These areas should be shown in Figure 16, and referenced when we refer to the wildlife corridor in the text.		
20	Pegasus	Pegasus document Page 4 2.1 Question version of NPPF used: 2012 or 2018?	Note: To amend Section 1.5 " The policies of the 2012 NPPF still apply to the examination of the Neighbourhood Plans submitted on or before 24th January 2019. The Weston on the Green Plan was submitted in October 2018. However, the revised (2018) NPPF places extra weight on development complying with policies in a made Neighbourhood Plan if that Plan meets the local housing requirement and the local authority has at least a three year housing land supply."		
21	Pegasus	Pegasus document Page 6 2.15 Calculated Housing Need and 2.20 . Which sites count towards the total?	We reject these challenges. The Steering Group has worked with CDC over the three years it has taken to develop this plan. Our view is that we have, and are continuing to have, an abundance of larger homes. Hence our policy shapes the type of homes we wish to see built in the village. Note additional text: pg 46 "Windfall housing, which falls within Policy Villages 1 of the Local Plan, may add housing incrementally, but sites will be rigorously measured against the policies in this Plan. Weston-on-the-Green can more easily accommodate small scale development and this is consistent with saved policy H13 of the CLP 1996 which allows settlements like Weston-on-the-Green to fulfill their development requirement by infilling and small groups of dwellings. Since more than half of the village is included in the Green Belt, development sites are particularly constrained, taking account of the policies regarding character and intensification in the part of the village that is outside the Green Belt."		
22					

A		B	C		D
1	Name	Comment	WOTG Response		
23	Pegasus	Overall argument	Overall, the representation appears to have been written by Pegasus in response to a request by Lagan to reserve as large and unfettered a development potential for the School Field as possible. It pays no regard to the will of Weston on the Green residents for their village – a will which has been very thoroughly researched and analysed by the authors of the NP. The NPPF allows a village the right to protect its character from irreversible harm and to shape and direct development in the local area.		
24	Pegasus	Pegasus document Page 1.1.4	We note that Pegasus (Lagan Homes) are aware of the legal requirement to preserve and enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area [P1 1.4(c)].		
25	Pegasus	Pegasus document Page 5 2.10 Specifically this para states “as the Cherwell District Local Plan does not make any specific allocations at WotG” then it is Pegasus opinion that any housing completion would be defined as “windfall” and not count toward the 750-dwelling allocation to Category A villages.	<p><u>Clarification:</u> Housing expectation for all Category A villages is 750 with no specific amount per village. It makes sense that a village of 250 homes offering an increase of 15% scattered through the village over the plan period is a positive attitude and contribution both to the development of the village for the future and to contribute to housing need in general.</p>		
26	Pegasus	Pegasus document Page 6-7 2.15 - 2.18 - Rejection of Policy H3	Pegasus’ rejection of Policy H3 (housing mix) again demonstrates how far removed they are from the will of the village as expressed through the NP. The mix described reflects the very LOCAL needs and aspirations of WOTG and NOT a microcosm of the SHMA. See further explanation in 3.1 Housing of the draft Neighbourhood Plan.		

1	A	B	C	D
Name	Comment	WOTG Response		
Pegasus 27	Pegasus document Page 8 2.22 Discounting Housing completions	Pegasus state that they are discounting all housing completions mentioned in the NP. We refute this based on consistent advice from Cherwell District Council. Our village is committed to 15% growth in specific locations and that does not include land that is valued as a passive recreational space.		
Pegasus 28	Discounting of housing numbers which contribute to the total	Pegasus must either (a) accept the housing contained within the NP as contributing toward the Local Plan or (b) accept that if they wish to define ANY houses not specifically referenced in the Local Plan as “windfall” then WOTG has no obligation to contribute further within this Plan window (even though it has, and, has seen a further twenty- four permissions during this plan period).		
Pegasus 29	Pegasus document Page 10 3.12 Policy H5 and the Design Code	We totally reject Pegasus’ view in [P10 3.12] that NP Policy H5 should be ignored and instead the look and feel of any development should rely solely on the NPPF. Surely the whole point of the NP is to elicit and codify localisation of this framework.		
Pegasus 30	Pegasus document Page 11 3.15 Challenge to Policy C5 and protection of key views	We totally reject Pegasus’ view in [P11 3.15] that Policy C5 should be ignored. Once again Pegasus fail to appreciate that the authors of the NP are fully aware that the whole point of having NPs is to add local flavour and expertise to national planning frameworks.		
Pegasus 31	Pegasus document Page 13 4.5 and elsewhere... Maintenance of the Schoolfield as a grassland meadow refusal by the owner	Pegasus underline the fact that their client owns the Schoolfield and is not in favour of allowing its development as a meadow. It claims this disqualifies its description as an important green space. Firstly the village is aware of the ownership of the land and thus improvement of the Conservation Area through better care of School Field will remain aspirational whilst it is owned by an owner who believes that building houses on the field would somehow preserve and improve the Conservation Area. Secondly, once the current owner realises they will not be allowed to build on the Schoolfield then it is the village’s sincere hope that the field will then be able to be taken proper care of under their or someone else’s ownership. Thirdly you only have to walk around or through the Schoolfield to realise what a hugely important and much loved green space within the envelope of the village it represents.		
Pegasus 32	Pegasus document Page	In another self-contradictory statement, having rejected the NP’s planned vision for the Schoolfield in [P13 4.5] Pegasus then state in [P14 4.7] that “the NDP does not have ...a clear vision for its future”.		

A		B	C		D
1	Name	Comment	WOTG Response		
33	Pegasus	Pegasus document Page 14 4.11 Development can mitigate the potential impact upon ecology	[P14 4.11] states that any development can "mitigate its potential impact on the ecology". The village strongly supports positively improving the Conservation Area and the ecology therein NOT creating any negative impact which then needs "mitigating". We refer to the concept of "irreversible harm" to one of our important landscapes and spaces.		
34	Pegasus	Ecology	The case for managing the historic Schoolfield as lowland meadow is set out in the Neighbourhood Plan.		
35	Pegasus	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment	There is considerable information in this report that supports the sensitivity of the field, the important key features which should be "preserved and enhanced" and that the impact on the village of a development must be carefully considered. The village does not want nor is pressured to build and as such maintains the right to carefully choose sites for development. Our aim is to protect our sensitive areas by not building on them.		
36	Southfield Farm (SF)	SF Summary Document, Page 1; Reliance on Fir Tree Farm development	There is no reliance on development in the Green Belt. Note that Policy Villages 1 housing need of 750 dwellings has been met (99.47% met).		
37	Southfield Farm	SF Summary Document Page 1: NP frustrates sustainable development on the edge of the village	The village has a right to protect its character from irreversible harm from unsustainable development (outside the village confines and poorly connected to the village). Poor integration of proposed housing fails to comply with NPPF sustainable housing policies.		
38	Southfield Farm	SF Summary Document Page 2,"Southfield Farm (Site B): Phase 2 Southfield Farm development benefits from access via Site A	Site A access is still unresolved.		

	A	B	C	D
1	Name	Comment	WOTG Response	
39	Southfield Farm	SF Summary Document Page 2: "Calculated Housing Need for Weston-on-the-Green", 60% affordable housing target unviable	This target was determined by the survey data of population profile and existing housing mix. The target specifically addresses the parish need, beyond complying with CDC targets of 35% affordable housing.	
40	Southfield Farm	SF Summary Document Page 3, "Environmental Policies" Objection to Policy E1	The Policy Village 1 target for housing need has been met (99.47%), so there is no need to consider development outside the village confines which would adversely affect the character of the village.	
41	Southfield Farm	SF Summary Document Page 4, "Communities Policies/Appendix G Landscape Setting and Important Internal Green Spaces", Objection to Policy C5	No extension of the green belt is proposed.	

1	Name	A	B	C	D
	Name	Comment	WOTG Response		
42	Southfield Farm			<p>Note: This site has been rejected by the Planning Committee and rejected at Appeal. One statement in the section entitled Planning Balance at Appeal was powerful: 32 - "The development would also adversely affect the character of the village as a result of the scale and consequent urbanising effect on the village setting. This harm would be irreversible and as such I attach it substantial weight. It would bring the proposal into conflict with the environmental role of sustainable development" ... and 35 "On balance, I consider that the social and economic benefits are outweighed by the environmental harm and for this reason I find that the proposal would not be sustainable development in terms of the Framework. Notwithstanding the category A status of Weston on the Green, the proposal fails to satisfy Policy Villages 2 and Policies PSD1, ESD1, ESD13, ESD15 and SLE4 of the CLPP1 and is not compliant with the development plan as a whole." Appeal Ref: APP/C3105/W/16/3158925 The development proposed is erection of up to 26 dwellings including creation of a new access, associated landscaping, open space and drainage infrastructure.</p>	

1	A	B	C	D
Name		Comment	WOTG Response	
	Oxfordshire County Council	<p>Transportation: Annex 1, Officers Advice. Transport Reference to A22 and A23 (Aspirations) Note that both would be subject to the outcome of the statutory consultation required A25 - any weight restriction would need to be assessed on the basis of benefit versus restriction and the village would need to find their own funding</p>	<p>A 23 to amend by adding the phrase "<i>subject to the outcome of the statutory consultation required</i>".</p> <p>A25 The weight restriction can only be extended if the benefits outweigh any negative impacts and costs would need to be born by the village.</p> <p>A26 Note: There is no recent Section 106 pertinent to this proposal. This is necessary because of the increased and heavy amount of traffic going through this small village (<i>Traffic survey data has been gathered</i>)</p> <p>A27 Pedestrian refuges are allowed without a consultation; zebra or signalled crossings would be as a result of a consultation and only permitted in a 30 mph zone. There is no funding.</p> <p>A27 Note: The Traffic Advisory Group will create an Infrastructure. Other than the Section 106 money from Southfield Farm for the pavement to the village, there is no other money for such a project. The Parish Council would need to find its own funding. <i>Infrastructure list is within the outline development plan and will be fleshed out in a more detailed five year plan if the NP is approved.</i></p> <p>A29 Funding for a cycle path would need to come from development funds, Section 106. <i>We do not support further development down that stretch of road so would need to appeal to other sources for funding.</i></p> <p>A30 Parking management is dependent on who owns the land the District or OCC. Costs to be born by the village. A31</p> <p>OCC welcomes schemes to promote sustainable transport and travel info. The consultation is welcomed. <i>Share outcomes of new Transport Advisory Group Note T2 - Development of 10 or more dwellings will be expected to promote and improve low carbon transport etc.</i></p>	
43		Neighbourhood Plan Policies: T3 - OCC has policies for this		
44			Wording is "In so far as planning permission is required, any proposal to alter or extend an existing dwelling that would reduce the level of off-street parking provision will be resisted unless it can be demonstrated that the overall parking provision retained is satisfactory."	

A		B	C		D
1	Name	Comment	WOTG Response		
45		A22-A31 The aspirations and concerns are outside of the scope of the objectives of the NP policies. These would be better placed on an infrastructure list	Note At the end of the list A22-A31 : <i>"The Parish Council is aware that central funding is not available to address the aspirations above and are committed to a long-term plan to make improvements incrementally as funding allows"</i> .		
46	OCC Environment Policies	"(Point 1) would be better placed on an infrastructure list."	Moved to an infrastructure overview in development plan.		
47	Oxfordshire County Council Public Health Comments	Very positive for: Social sustainability which highlights a supply of suitable housing. Strongly support H4 and H7 and T2 all of which points to the	Noted.		
48	Lorna Miles	Objection: There was a statement early on in the process about wanting a Nursery School	The perceived need for a Nursery School is not yet confirmed based on the number of current young families in the village. There is a line on the Implementation Plan which asks us to consider the issue again (Yrs. 6-10). Currently, OCC does not agree that there is a need.		
49	Lorna Miles	O1 - objection to "village confines"	See definition (as found in glossary pg 5).		

A		B	C		D
1	Name	Comment	WOTG Response		
50	Lorna Miles	Policy E1 - challenge to the NP making a positive contribution	Note the introductory explanations both on Positive Development and Village Confines.		
51	Lorna Miles	Objection to responsibility for WOTG as a Category A village	Note the introductory explanations on Category A villages and Weston on the Green.		
52	Lorna Miles	Policy E1 Objection :" ..does not differentiate the sites and apply weight accordingly."	Note 2.4 Landscape and Green Spaces Designated Local Green Spaces I-IV (Figure 15) and Appendix G: text ref p38; Open areas of green space of particular value to the character of the village e-h, Figure 15: text ref p38; Landscape setting and open views A-J, Figure 15: text ref p37.		
53	Lorna Miles	Consultation Document objection: "Page 9 'Criteria for new housing states that there is scope for housing on both sides of Northampton Road. Comments from consultation held in 2015. This is not carried through the Plan."	Page 9 objection - this statement was recorded at the first village meeting as the opinion of one person. The overview list was a valuing of points of view and not a policy making discussion Page 12: 19th May 2016 "concern about any development east of the B430 as then floodgates would be opened." This statement is also an expression of concern and was not to be edited out of the process of consultation. Page 23: 14.01.2016 statement regarding past dispute (Garden Town 2008). Meeting with landowners covered a range of topics and information sharing. As part of the Steering Group's responsibility to be transparent, all of the topics covered are documented. This was not a policy making meeting.		
54	Thames Valley Police	Recommendation for wording a policy for a Secured by Design Policy (SBD)	This is covered in Policy H5, which requires development to conform with Appendix A - A5 Housing Standards, Security.		

	A	B	C	D
1	Name	Comment	WOTG Response	
	Thames Water	Comment on Policy H1 - Southfield Farm that the developer and the LPA liaise with Thames Water at the earliest stage	As this has approval and is working through the Basic Conditions stage, this should have been done.	
55				
		1. Confirms that WOTG does not require an SEA	Noted.	
56	Natural England	2. Statement about WOTG referring to an outdated NPPF(2012) as opposed to the 2018 NPPF	See comments at beginning of this paper which reaffirm the relevance of NPPF 2012 to our Plan. We will monitor the CLP for any new provisions that require a material change to our Plan.	
57				
58	Historic England	No issues		
59	Adderbury Parish Council	No issues		
60	Scottish & Southern Electricity Networks	No issues		
61	Canal and River Trust	No issues		
62	Norman Machin	Section 4 Theme 4	Transport considerations are relevant.	
	Oxfordshire Clinical Commissioning Group	Appreciate the health elements included in the NP	Noted.	
63				